



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NUMBER
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/756,830
 11/20/95
 BEAMAN
 B Y0995-023X

B2M1/8312

DAMIEL P MORRIS
IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW PEPT
P O BOX 218
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS NY 10598

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, V

ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | 2213 | 3

DATE MAILED:

03/12/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov. 20, 1996	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 Q.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
Disposition of Claims	
$\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $1-43$ Of the above, claim(s) $24-35$	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 24 - 35	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s) 1-23 and 36-43	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims ar	e subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
✓ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
Treceived in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
✓ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/756830 Page 2

Art Unit: 2213

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

A) species of figures 1-7,

B) species of figure 8,

C) species of figure 9,

D) species of figure 10,

E) species of figure 11 and

F) species of figure 12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of

the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Serial Number: 08/756830 Page 3

Art Unit: 2213

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Morris on Nov.24,1997 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(h).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Art Unit: 2213

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2213

12/04/97